### April 11, 2017

The Honorable Orrin Hatch Chair, U.S. Senate Committee on Finance	The Honorable Ron Wyden Ranking Member, U.S. Senate Committee on Finance
The Honorable Kevin Brady Chair, U.S. House Committee on Ways and Means	The Honorable Richard Neal Ranking Member, U.S. House Committee on Ways and Means

The undersigned organizations are concerned that an article titled "Disabled, or Just Desperate?" recently published in The Washington *Post* (April 2, 2017) paints a misleading and inaccurate picture of Social Security's disability programs. We applaud the goal of putting a face on programs that are often discussed only in terms of statistics and numbers. Unfortunately, Terrence McCoy's reporting fails to tell the whole story and perpetuates dangerous myths about the Social Security disability programs and the people they help.

### Social Security Disability Programs Provide Modest but Vital Support

About 57 million, or 1 in 5 Americans, live with disabilities. One in 10 Americans has a severe disability. Our nation's Social Security system provides vital income support to about 13 million working-age people with disabilities through two programs: Social Security Disability insurance and Supplemental Security income. Social Security Disability Insurance, or SSDI, is funded through payroll tax contributions. It is an earned benefit that provides essential income support to workers who have contributed enough via payroll taxes to be "covered" and who become disabled before reaching full retirement age. While benefits are modest, averaging just about \$1,170 per month, benefits keep millions of people with disabilities from deep poverty and homelessness. For most beneficiaries, SSDI benefits make up all or most of their personal income.<sup>1</sup> Our Social Security system, including SSDI, reflects the diversity of our nation. Currently, roughly 1 million military veterans, 4.8 million women, 2.3 million African Americans, and 1.2 million Latinos receive SSDI.

# The Social Security Disability Standard is Strict and Most Applications Are Denied

Missing from the Post's reporting is the fact that most applicants for Social Security disability benefits are denied. Less than 40 percent of adult applicants are approved under the strict disability standard.<sup>ii</sup> Many are terminally ill: 1 in 5 male SSDI beneficiaries and nearly 1 in 6 female SSDI beneficiaries die within 5 years of receiving benefits. Despite their impairments, many report eagerness to do some work, and some do work part-time. But research indicates that the average earning potential of beneficiaries with "work capacity" is a few thousand dollars per year—hardly enough to support oneself.<sup>iii</sup>

# Demographics Explain Nearly All Growth in Social Security Disability Insurance

Due largely to well-known demographic trends, the number of disabled workers receiving Social Security Disability Insurance has grown since the program became law in the 1950s. Program enrollment peaked in 2014. According to Social Security's Chief Actuary, the growth in SSDI was long expected and is mostly the result of three factors: baby boomers entering their high-disability years, women entering the workforce in large numbers in the 1970s and 1980s so that more are now "insured" for SSDI based on their own prior contributions, and population growth.<sup>iv</sup> These three factors alone explain 90 percent of the program's growth between 1980 and 2010.<sup>v</sup> Another important factor has been the Social Security retirement age rising from 65 to 67, which means disabled workers receive disability benefits for longer before converting to retirement benefits when they reach full retirement age—and more people who acquire disabilities at those ages receive disability instead of retirement benefits. About 5 percent of SSDI beneficiaries are ages 65 and 66.<sup>vi</sup>

While some jobless workers may apply for disability benefits out of "desperation"—a phenomenon the *Post* alleges is widespread throughout rural communities—they will be screened out if they do not meet Social Security's strict disability standard. A look at what happens during economic downturns bears this out. While down economies generally trigger increases in applications for disability benefits, they have a much smaller effect on awards. The most recent downturn was no exception, and Social Security's actuaries estimate that just 5 percent of the program's growth from 1980 to 2010 was due to the recession,<sup>vii</sup> likely due to workers with disabilities being disproportionately laid off from employer payrolls when times got tight. It is important to note that while applications increased during the Great Recession, the award rate—the share of applications approved for benefits—declined, indicating that applicants who did not meet the rigid disability standard were screened out. A study by Social Security's watchdog examined the 11 states with the highest unemployment rates and found that while applications for benefits increased, award rates had dropped in all of them.<sup>viii</sup> Moreover, a recent National Bureau of Economic Research study found "no indication that expiration of unemployment insurance benefits causes Social Security Disability Insurance applications."

And what about rural America? As Kathy Ruffing at the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities has noted, geographic areas with certain characteristics are home to more disability beneficiaries. For example, communities with an industry-based economy, where work tends to be more physically demanding; those with fewer immigrants—who are far less likely than native-born citizens to receive disability benefits due to program rules; and areas with an older and less-educated workforce less likely to be able to move into other work after onset of disability or illness, have higher rates of SSDI enrollment.<sup>ix</sup> Thus, it comes as little surprise that rural areas would see higher rates of SSDI enrollment than other parts of the country.

# The Future of the Social Security Disability Programs

Another significant point that the *Post* leaves out entirely is that the number of disability beneficiaries is currently *in decline*. As the baby boomers age into retirement, the number of SSDI beneficiaries has already begun to level off—with the program's growth now at its lowest level in 25 years.<sup>x</sup> As of February 2017, the number of SSDI disabled worker beneficiaries decreased in 8 of the past 9 quarters and all of the past 15 months.<sup>xi</sup> The number of SSDI disabled worker applications and awards has declined each year since 2010.<sup>xii</sup>

Social Security disability benefits are nothing short of essential for the millions of disabled workers who rely on them to keep a roof over their heads, food on the table, to afford copays for needed, often life-sustaining medication, and more. Rather than rehashing myths and sensationalizing well-documented, understandable program trends, we need to strengthen Social Security's disability programs to more effectively serve their missions: increasing economic security for people with severe disabilities and enabling them to live independently with dignity and transition back to work when possible.

Signed:

AFL-CIO AFSCME Alliance for Retired Americans American Association of People with Disabilities American Civil Liberties Union American Network of Community Options and Resources Association of University Centers on Disabilities Autistic Self-Advocacy Network **Bazelon Center for Mental Health Law** Campaign for America's Future **Center for American Progress** Center for Community Change Action Center for Law and Social Policy **Coalition on Human Needs Committee on Disability Power & Pride** Community Legal Services, Inc. Community Servings Easterseals **Economic Policy Institute** Justice in Aging **Global Policy Solutions Gray Panthers** Institute for Educational Leadership Latinos for a Secure Retirement Lutheran Services in America Disability Network National Alliance of State & Territorial AIDS Directors (NASTAD) National Alliance on Mental Illness National Association of Disability Representatives National Committee to Preserve Social Security and Medicare National Organization for Women National Organization of Social Security Claimants' Representatives (NOSSCR) National Disability Rights Network National Women's Law Center Paralyzed Veterans of America People Demanding Action **Progressive Democrats of America** Service Employees International Union Social Security Works Strengthen Social Security Coalition The Advocrat Group The Arc of the United States

cc:

The Honorable Thad Cochran Chair, U.S. Senate Committee on Appropriations	The Honorable Patrick Leahy Vice Chair, U.S. Senate Committee on Appropriations
The Honorable Mike Enzi Chair, U.S. Senate Committee on Budget	The Honorable Bernie Sanders Ranking Member, U.S. Senate Committee on Budget
The Honorable Pat Tiberi Chair, U.S. Senate Joint Economic Committee	The Honorable Martin Heinrich Ranking Member, U.S. Senate Joint Economic Committee
The Honorable Lamar Alexander Chair, U.S. Senate Committee on Health, Health, Education, Labor and Pensions	The Honorable Patty Murray Ranking Member, U.S. Senate Committee on Education, Labor and Pensions
The Honorable Rodney Frelingheuysen Chair, U.S. House Committee on Appropriations	The Honorable Nita Lowey Ranking Member, U.S. House Committee on Appropriations

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup> Rebecca Vallas and Shawn Fremstad, "Social Security Disability Insurance: A Bedrock of Security for American Workers (Center for American Progress: June 2015),

https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/poverty/reports/2015/06/16/115195/social-security-disability-insurance-a-bedrock-of-security-for-american-workers/.

<sup>x</sup> Goss, *supra* note vii.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>iii</sup> See, e.g., Nicole Maestas, Does Disability Insurance Receipt Discourage Work?, Rand Corporation, 2011, http://www.rand.org/pubs/working\_papers/WR853.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>iv</sup> Testimony of Stephen Goss, Chief Actuary, Social Security Administration, before the House Ways and Means Committee (Mar. 2013), http://waysandmeans.house.gov/uploadedfiles/goss\_testimony.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>v</sup> David Pattison and Hilary Waldron, "Growth in New Disabled Worker Entitlements, 1970-2008," *Social Security Bulletin* 73 (4) (2013): 25-48, https://www.ssa.gov/policy/docs/ssb/v73n4/v73n4p25.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>vi</sup> Kathy Ruffing, Testimony before the Subcommittee on Social Security Committee on Ways and Means, U.S. House of Representatives, March 20, 2013, available at http://www.cbpp.org/files/3-20-13ss-test.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>vii</sup> Stephen Goss, Chief Actuary, Social Security Administration, Testimony before the Senate Committee on Finance on "The Foreseen Trend in the Cost of Disability Insurance Benefits," (July 24, 2014), available at https://www.ssa.gov/oact/testimony/SenateFinance 20140724.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>viii</sup> Office of the Inspector General, *Impact of Increases in State Unemployment Rates on the Social Security Administration's Disability Programs (U.S. Social Security Administration, 2013), available at* http://oig.ssa.gov/sites/default/files/audit/full/pdf/A-07-12-11209.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>ix</sup> Kathy Ruffing, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, "Geographic Pattern of Disability Receipt Largely Reflects Demographic and Economic Factors," (January 9, 2015), http://www.cbpp.org/research/geographic-pattern-ofdisability-receipt-largely-reflects-economic-and-demographic-factors.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>xi</sup> Social Security Administration, Office of the Chief Actuary, Disabled worker beneficiary statistics by calendar year, quarter, and month. Accessed Mar. 31, 2017.

<sup>xii</sup> Id.